

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JULIO SANDOVAL,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 RALPH M. DIAZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 1:20-cv-01374-NONE-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

(Doc. Nos. 20, 24)

17 Plaintiff Julio Sandoval is a state inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this  
18 civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States  
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On March 9, 2021, plaintiff filed an “Order to Show Cause for a Preliminary Injunction.”  
21 (Doc. No. 20.) On March 25, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and  
22 recommendations construing plaintiff’s filing as a motion for preliminary injunction and  
23 recommending that the motion be denied for numerous reasons. (*Id.* at 4.) Plaintiff was provided  
24 an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The deadline to file  
25 objections has passed and plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings  
26 and recommendations.

27 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
28 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the

1 magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper  
2 analysis.

3 Accordingly,

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations entered on March 25, 2021 (Doc. No. 24) are  
5 adopted in full; and
- 6 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 20) is denied.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: May 10, 2021

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE